## 

	REI-TSANG SHIAO	1626	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
1) <u>REI-TSANG SHIAO</u> .	(3)		
2) <u>John Wurst</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 July 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark> appli</mark> cant's representative	•]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed: 5-8, 11, 22, 25-26 and 28.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	ı)	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner's amendment, amend claims 8, 11, 22, 26 and 28, delete claims 5-7 and 25.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS SIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			

/REI-TSANG SHIAO /
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.